

Skin Moisturization Study:

Fig.1 Graph of the mean visual scores for the Dryness data.




Table 1

Evaluation Time	Baseline	1	2	4	6	8	24
Post-Foamer GG	1.95	0.35	0.43	0.65	0.73	0.78	1.63
Post-Foamer HH	1.90	0.10	0.23	0.33	0.50	0.50	1.60
Post-Foamer II	2.03	0.23	0.18	0.38	0.45	0.43	1.58
Post-Foamer A	1.98	0.63	1.05	1.18	1.35	1.33	1.80
II Neat	1.85	0.08	0.20	0.28	0.40	0.43	1.45
Untreated	1.90	1.60	1.60	1.75	1.83	1.88	1.80

Table 1 - Dryness Data

Fig. 2 **Graph of the average replicate scores for the Skicon data**





Table 2 - Skicon Data

TECH 230" 2548E650 Skin Moisturization Study:

Fig. 3

Graph of the average replicate scores for the Corneometer data



Evaluation Time	1	2	4	8	16	24
Post-Foamer G	52.35	54.24	55.57	56.54	54.35	51.99
Post-Foamer HH	52.38	53.65	56.03	56.14	55.17	51.70
Post-Foamer II	52.20	54.17	54.82	55.37	55.58	52.20
Post-Foamer A	51.67	51.50	53.67	54.26	53.34	50.75
II Nest	54.40	54.61	56.56	57.59	55.47	53.00
Untreated	53.62	53.33	53.96	54.87	53.87	52.21

Table 3 - Corneometer Data




Fig. 4

Viscosity vs. Shear stress for Edge (R) gel




Fig. 5

Viscosity vs. Shear stress of inventive and comparative lotion concentrates




Fig. 6

Expanded version of Fig. 5 showing comparative isotropic lotion base A in more detail




Fig. 7

Foam stability of inventive lamellar post foaming lotion (1) compared to comparative isotropic post foaming gel (2).